

INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

*CO 3*  
*13 AUG 1951*  
Minutes of Meeting held in Director's  
Conference Room, Administration Building  
Central Intelligence Agency, on 13 August 1951

Director of Central Intelligence  
General Walter Bedell Smith  
Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. W. Park Armstrong, Jr., Special Assistant, Intelligence,  
Department of State  
Brigadier General John Weckerling, acting for Assistant Chief  
of Staff, G-2, Department of the Army  
Rear Admiral Felix L. Johnson, Director of Naval Intelligence,  
Department of the Navy  
Colonel Edward H. Porter, acting for Director of Intelligence,  
Headquarters, United States Air Force  
Dr. Malcolm C. Henderson, acting for Director of Intelligence,  
Atomic Energy Commission  
Brigadier General Richard C. Partridge, Deputy Director for  
Intelligence, The Joint Staff  
Mr. Victor P. Keay, acting for Assistant to the Director,  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

ALSO PRESENT

25X1 Dr. William L. Langer, Central Intelligence Agency  
[redacted] USA (Ret.), Central  
25X1 Intelligence Agency  
[redacted] USN (Ret.), Central  
Intelligence Agency  
25X1 Mr. Sherman Kent, Central Intelligence Agency  
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Mr. Mose L. Harvey, Department of State  
Colonel O. B. Sykes, Department of the Army  
Captain Ray Malpass, USN, Department of the Navy  
Colonel James F. Pinkney, Department of the Air Force  
Lieut. Colonel Charles F. Gillis, Department of the Air Force

James Q. Reber  
Secretary  
Intelligence Advisory Committee

S-E-C-R-E-T  
IAC-M-3049  
13 August 1951

S-E-C-R-E-T  
IAC-M-40 (Pg. 1, Final)  
13 August 1951

Soviet Position at the  
San Francisco Conference

1. Discussion: Dr. Langer asked whether a crash estimate would be required on this question. It was reported that State is preparing such an estimate and that it would be shortly submitted for comment by the IAC agencies.

Probability of Communist  
Assault on Japan in 1951.  
(SE-11)

2. Action: This paper was approved with certain changes and with a phrasing of the conclusions acceptable to the majority. The Director of Central Intelligence and the Director of Naval Intelligence dissented from the accepted wording. This paper will be issued with a second annex on indications directed to certain individuals only; no reference will be made to this annex in the body of the paper.

3. Discussion: It was observed by Admiral Johnson that since the statement of Soviet capabilities for an invasion of Japan was made without reference to U. S. defensive capabilities, this fact should be mentioned in a footnote. This suggestion, it was noted, highlights an increasingly important problem in making estimates of this character. Admiral Johnson also questioned the stated capability of the Communists to follow up an initial landing, noting that optimum conditions for the offensive would be required. Inasmuch as such conditions would not realistically obtain, a conditional phrasing, indicating that this capability in fact assumed optimum conditions, was substituted.

4. The major discussion was on the ultimate conclusion of the paper: the likelihood of a major Communist assault on Japan. State, Army, Air Force and the Joint Staff felt that a Soviet assault on Japan would be unlikely except in the event of global war. The National Estimates Board and Navy were of the opinion that even if the Kremlin decided to precipitate general war in 1951 it is unlikely that the Kremlin would undertake a major campaign against Japan in 1951. AEC and FBI abstained from expressing a view on this point. General Smith, observing that the ground appeared equally firm in support of both possible answers, accepted the majority view as that which should appear in the text of the estimate, even though on the advice of his own staff he dissented therefrom. He pointed out, however, that his dissent under these circumstances was not to be considered a precedent.

S-E-C-R-E-T  
IAC-M-40 (Pg. 1, Final)  
13 August 1951